arXiv:1701.02633v2 [math.AP] 13 Jan 2017

Existence of Traveling wave solutions to parabolic-elliptic-elliptic chemotaxis systems with logistic source

Rachidi B. Salako and Wenxian Shen
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Auburn University
Auburn University, AL 36849
U.S.A.

Abstract

The current paper is devoted to the study of traveling wave solutions of the following parabolic-elliptic-elliptic chemotaxis systems,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi_1 \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v_1) + \chi_2 \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v_2) + u(a - bu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ 0 = \Delta v_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 + \mu_1 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ 0 = \Delta v_2 - \lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

where $a > 0,\ b > 0$, $u(x,t)$ represents the population density of a mobile species, $v_1(x,t)$, represents the population density of a chemoattractant, $v_2(x,t)$ represents the population density of a chemo-repulsion, $\chi_1 \geq 0$ and $\chi_2 \geq 0$ represent the chemotaxis sensitivities, and the positive constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$, and μ_2 are related to growth rate of the chemical substances. It was proved in an earlier work by the authors of the current paper that there is a nonnegative constant K depending on the parameters $\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2$, and λ_2 such that if $b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + K$, then the positive constant steady solution $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ of (0.1) is asymptotically stable with respect to positive perturbations. In the current paper, we prove that if $b+\chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1+K$, then there exist a positive number $c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) \geq 2\sqrt{a}$ such that for every $c \in (c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)\ ,\ \infty)$ and $\xi \in S^{N-1}$, the system has a traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_1(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_2(x \cdot \xi - ct))$ with speed c connecting the constant solutions $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0,0,0)$, and it does not have such traveling wave solutions of speed less than $2\sqrt{a}$. Moreover we prove that

$$\lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \leq \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_1 \leq \min\{a, \lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_2 \leq \min\{a, \lambda_1\} \end{cases} \quad \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x}} = 1,$$

where μ is the only solution of the equation $\sqrt{a}(\mu + \frac{1}{\mu}) = c$ in the interval $(0\ ,\ \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\})$.

Key words. Parabolic-Elliptic-Elliptic chemotaxis system, logistic source, spreading speed, traveling wave solution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B35, 35B40, 35K57, 35Q92, 92C17.

1 Introduction and Statement of the Main Results

Chemotaxis is the ability for micro-organisms to respond to chemical signals by moving along gradient of chemical substances, either toward the higher concentration (positive taxis) or away from it (negative taxis). The following system of partial differential equations describes the time evolution of both the density $u(x,t)$ of a mobile species and the density $v(x,t)$ of a chemical substance,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - \chi(u)\nabla v) + u(a - bu), & x \in \Omega \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

complemented with certain boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ if Ω is bounded, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open domain; $\tau \geq 0$ is a non-negative constant linked to the speed of diffusion of the chemical; a and b are nonnegative constant real numbers related to the growth rate of the mobile species; and the function $\chi(u)$ represents the sensitivity with respect to chemotaxis. System (1.1) has attracted a number of researchers over the last three decades. It is a simplified version of the mathematical model of chemotaxis (aggregation of organisms sensitive to a gradient of a chemical substance) proposed by Keller and Segel (see [25], [26]). In literature, (1.1) is called the Keller-Segel model or a chemotaxis model. The nature of the sensitivity function of the mobile species with respect to the chemical signal, $\chi(u)$, plays important role in the features of solutions of (1.1). In the case of positive sensitivity function $\chi(u)$, the mobile species moves toward the higher concentration of the chemical substances and (1.1) is referred to as chemoattraction models. If $\chi(u)$ has negative sign, the mobile species moves away the higher concentration of the chemical substances and (1.1) is referred to as chemorepulsion models.

It is well known that chemotactic cross-diffusion is somewhat "dangerous" in the sense that finite-time blow-up might occur. For example, for the choice of $\chi(u) = \chi u, \chi > 0$, and no logistic function, i.e $a = b = 0$, finite time blow-up may occur in (1.1) (see [3, 11, 39] for the case $\tau = 0$ and [20, 62] for the case $\tau = 1$), but that this situation is less dangerous when this taxis is repulsive (see [9] and the references therein). When the logistic source is not identically zero, that is $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, the blow-up phenomena in the chemoattraction case may be suppressed to some extent, namely, finite time blow up does not occur in (1.1) with $\chi(u) = \chi u$ provided that the logistic damping constant b is sufficiently large relative to χ (see [52] for $\tau = 0$ and [63] for $\tau = 1$). Quite rich dynamical features may be observed in such systems, either numerically or also analytically. But many fundamental dynamical issues for (1.1) are not well understood yet, in particular, when Ω is unbounded.

Since the works by Keller and Segel, a rich variety of mathematical models for studying chemotaxis have appeared (see [3], [10], [11], [18], [24], [39],[45], [49], [50], [52], [54], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64], [65], [68], and the references therein). In the current paper, we consider chemoattraction-repulsion process in which cells undergo random motion and chemotaxis towards attractant and away from repellent [34]. More precisely, we consider the model with proliferation and death of cells and assume that chemicals diffuse very quickly. These lead to the model of partial differential equations as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi_1 \nabla(u \nabla v_1) + \chi_2 \nabla(u \nabla v_2) + u(a - bu) & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ 0 = (\Delta - \lambda_1 I)v_1 + \mu_1 u & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ 0 = (\Delta - \lambda_2 I)v_2 + \mu_2 u & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

complemented with certain boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ if Ω is bounded. It is clear that that

if either $\chi_1 = 0$ or $\chi_2 = 0$ then (1.2) becomes (1.1) with $\chi(u) = \chi u$. As (1.1), among the central problems about (1.2) are global existence of classical/weak solutions with given initial functions; finite-time blow-up; pattern formation; existence, uniqueness, and stability of certain special solutions; spatial spreading and front propagation dynamics when the domain is a whole space; etc. Similarly, many of these central problems are not well understood yet, in particular, when Ω is unbounded.

Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.2) on bounded domain Ω complemented with Neumann boundary conditions,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial n} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

have been studied in many papers (see [12, 21, 23, 31, 32, 33, 34, 51, 55, 56, 66, 67] and the references therein). For example, in [66], among others, the authors proved that, if $b > \chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2$, or $N \le 2$, or $\frac{N-2}{N}(\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2) < b$ and $N \ge 3$, then for every nonnegative initial $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, (1.2)+(1.3) has a unique global classical solution $(u(\cdot,\cdot), v_1(\cdot,\cdot), v_2(\cdot,\cdot))$ which is uniformly bounded. In the case that there is no logistic function, the authors in [51] studied both (1.2) and its full parabolic variant.

In a very recent work [42], the authors of the current paper studied the global existence, asymptotic behavior, and spatial spreading properties of classical solutions of (1.2) on the whole space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi_1\nabla(u\nabla v_1) + \chi_2\nabla(u\nabla v_2) + u(a - bu) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \\ 0 = (\Delta - \lambda_1 I)v_1 + \mu_1 u & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \\ 0 = (\Delta - \lambda_2 I)v_2 + \mu_2 u & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Let

$$C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \,|\, u(x) \text{ is uniformly continuous in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| < \infty\} \tag{1.5}$$

equipped with the norm $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|$. For every real number r, we let $(r)_+ = \max\{0, r\}$ and $(r)_- = \max\{0, -r\}$. Let

$$M := \min\Big\{\frac{(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+ + \chi_1\mu_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\chi_2\mu_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+ + (\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+}{\lambda_1}\Big\} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$K := \min\Big\{\frac{|\chi_2\lambda_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1\mu_1| + \chi_1\mu_1|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\chi_2\mu_2|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| + |\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1|}{\lambda_1}\Big\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Among others, the following are proved in [42].

• *If $b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + M$, then for every nonnegative initial function $u_0 \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (1.4) has a unique bounded and globally defined classical solution $(u(\cdot,\cdot;u_0), (v_1(\cdot,\cdot;u_0)), v_2(\cdot,\cdot;u_0))$ with $u(\cdot,0;u_0) = u_0$ and $\|u(\cdot,t;u_0)\|_\infty \le \max\{\|u_0\|_\infty\ ,\ \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}\}$, for all $t \ge 0$.*

• *If $b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + K$, then for every initial function $u_0 \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) > 0$, the unique bounded and globally defined classical solution $(u(\cdot,\cdot;u_0), (v_1(\cdot,\cdot;u_0)), v_2(\cdot,\cdot;u_0))$ (1.4) with $u(\cdot,0;u_0) = u_0$ satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\Big[\|u(\cdot,t;u_0) - \frac{a}{b}\|_\infty + \|v_1(\cdot,t;u_0) - \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}\|_\infty + \|v_2(\cdot,t;u_0) - \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2}\|_\infty\Big] = 0.$$

That is the constant steady state $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ *is asymptotically stable with respect to strictly positive perturbations if* $b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + K$.

It is not yet known whether it is enough for $b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + M$ to guarantee the stability of the positive constant steady solution with respect to strictly positive perturbations.

Note that, in the absence of the chemotaxis (i.e. $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0$ or $\chi_1 - \chi_2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$), the first equation of (1.2) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ becomes

$$u_t = \Delta u + u(a - bu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.8}$$

which is referred to as Fisher or KPP equation due to the pioneering works by Fisher ([13]) and Kolmogorov, Petrowsky, Piscunov ([27]). Among important solutions of (1.8) are traveling wave solutions of (1.8) connecting the constant solutions a/b and 0. It is well known that (1.8) has traveling wave solutions $u(t, x) = \phi(x - ct)$ connecting $\frac{a}{b}$ and 0 (i.e. $(\phi(-\infty) = a/b,\ \phi(\infty) = 0)$) for all speeds $c \geq 2\sqrt{a}$ and has no such traveling wave solutions of slower speed (see [13, 27, 58]). Moreover, the stability of traveling wave solutions of (1.8) connecting $\frac{a}{b}$ and 0 has also been studied (see [8], [46], [53], etc.). The above mentioned results for (1.8) have also been well extended to reaction diffusion equations of the form,

$$u_t = \Delta u + uf(t, x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.9}$$

where $f(t, x, u) < 0$ for $u \gg 1$, $\partial_u f(t, x, u) < 0$ for $u \geq 0$ (see [4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 29, 30, 37, 40, 41, 47, 48, 58, 59, 69], etc.).

Similar to (1.8), traveling wave solutions connecting the constant solutions $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ are among most important solutions of (1.4). However, such solutions have been hardly studied. The objective of the current paper is to study the existence of traveling wave solutions connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$. A nonnegative solution $(u(x, t), v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t))$ of (1.4) is called a *traveling wave solution* connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ and propagating in the direction $\xi \in S^{N-1}$ with speed c if it is of the form $(u(x, t), v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t)) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_1(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_2(x \cdot \xi - ct))$ with $\lim_{z\to-\infty}(U(z), V_1(z), V_2(z)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $\lim_{z\to\infty}(U(z), V_1(z), V_2) = (0, 0, 0)$.

Observe that, if $(u(x, t), v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t)) = (U(x\cdot\xi - ct), V_1(x\cdot\xi - ct), V_2(x\cdot\xi - ct))$ $(x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t \geq 0)$ is a traveling wave solution of (1.4) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ and propagating in the direction $\xi \in S^{N-1}$, then $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$ $(x \in \mathbb{R})$ is a traveling wave solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x(u\partial_x(\chi_2 v_2 - \chi_1 v_1)) + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = \partial_{xx} v_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 + \mu_1 u, & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = \partial_{xx} v_2 - \lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_2 u, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$. Conversely, if $(u(x, t), v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t)) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x, t))$ $(x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0)$ is a traveling wave solution of (1.10) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$, then $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_1(x \cdot \xi - ct), V_2(x \cdot \xi - ct))$ $(x \in \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a traveling wave solution of (1.4) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ and propagating in the direction $\xi \in S^{N-1}$. In the following, we will then study the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.10) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$.

Observe also that $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$ is a traveling wave solution of (1.10) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ with speed c if and only if $(u, v_1, v_2) =$

$(U(x), V_1(x), V_2(x))$ is a stationary solution of the following parabolic-elliptic-elliptic chemotaxis system,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + c\partial_x u + \partial_x(u\partial_x(\chi_2 v_2 - \chi_1 v_1)) + u(a - bu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = \partial_{xx} v_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 + \mu_1 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = \partial_{xx} v_2 - \lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$. In this paper, to study the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.10), we study the existence of constant c's so that (1.11) has a stationary solution $(U(x), V_1(x), V_2(x))$ satisfying $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0, 0, 0)$.

Throughout this paper we shall always suppose that

$$b + \chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1 + M, \tag{1.12}$$

where M is as in (1.6). We prove the following theorems on the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions of (1.11).

Theorem A. *If $b+\chi_2\mu_2 > \chi_1\mu_1+K$, then there exist a positive number $c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) > 0$ such that for every $c \in (c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)\ ,\ \infty)$, (1.10) has a traveling wave solution $(u(x,t), v_1(x,t), v_2(x,t)) = (U(x-ct), V_1(x-ct), V_2(x-ct))$ connecting the constant solutions $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0,0,0)$ with speed c. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_1 \le \min\{a, \lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_2 \le \min\{a, \lambda_1\} \end{cases}, \quad \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0, \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x}} = 1, \tag{1.14}$$

where μ is the only solution of the equation $\sqrt{a}(\mu + \frac{1}{\mu}) = c$ in the interval $(0\ ,\ \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\})$.

We will prove Theorem A by first constructing proper sub-solutions and sup-solutions of a collection of parabolic equations, a non-empty bounded and convex subset $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ of $C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, and a mapping from $\mathcal{E}_\mu$, and then showing that the mapping has a fixed point, which gives rise to a traveling wave solution of (1.10) satisfying (1.14).

Remark B. *Suppose that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda > 0$. Then,*

(1) *For every $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, and $\chi_1 \ge 0$, $\chi_2 \ge 0$, if $\chi_1\mu_1 = \chi_2\mu_2$, then*

$$c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \ge \lambda. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For every $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, and $\chi_1 \ge 0$, $\chi_2 \ge 0$, if $0 < \chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 < \frac{b}{2}$, then*

$$\lim_{\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 \to 0^+} c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \ge \lambda. \end{cases}$$

(3) *For every $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, and $\chi_1 \geq 0$, $\chi_2 \geq 0$, if $\chi_1\mu_1 < \chi_2\mu_2$, then* $\lim_{\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1\to 0^+} c^*(\chi_1,\mu_1,\lambda,\chi_2,\mu_2,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \leq \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \geq \lambda. \end{cases}$

Theorem C. *For any given $\chi_i \geq 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\mu_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, (1.10) has no traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$ with $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$, $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0, 0, 0)$, and $c < 2\sqrt{a}$.*

Remark D.

(i) *It follows from Theorem A that if either $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \to (0^+, 0^+)$ or $(\chi_1 - \chi_2, \mu_1 - \mu_2, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \to (0, 0, 0)$, then $c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)$ converges to the minimal speed of (1.8).*

(ii) *When $\chi_2 = 0$ in Theorem A, we recover Theorem A in [44]*

(iii) *For given $\chi_i \geq 0$, λ_i, $\mu_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, let $c^*_{\min}(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)$ be such that for any $c \geq c^*_{\min}(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)$, (1.10) has a traveling wave solution connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0, 0, 0)$ with speed c and (1.10) has no traveling solution for speed less than $c^*_{\min}(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)$. By Theorems A and B, we have*

$$2\sqrt{a} \leq c^*_{\min}(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2).$$

It remains open whether $c^*_{\min}(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) = 2\sqrt{a}$. This question is about whether the chemotaxis increases the minimal wave speed of the existence of traveling wave solutions. It is of great theoretical and biological interests to investigate this question.

It should be pointed out that there are many studies on traveling wave solutions of several other types of chemotaxis models, see, for example, [1, 2, 17, 22, 28, 35, 38, 57, 44, 43], etc. In particular, the reader is referred to the review paper [57].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is to establish the tools that will be needed in the proof of Theorem A. It is here that we define the two special functions, which are sub-solution and sup-solution of a collection of parabolic equations, and a non-empty bounded and convex subset $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ of $C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. In section 3, we study the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions and prove Theorems A and B.

2 Super- and sub-solutions

In this section, we will construct super- and sub-solutions of some related equations of (1.11) and a non-empty bounded and convex subset $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ of $C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, which will be used to prove the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.10) in next section. Throughout this section we suppose that $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are given positive real numbers.

For given $0 < \nu < 1$, let

$$C^\nu_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \,|\, \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N, x\neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\nu} < \infty\} \tag{2.1}$$

equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{C^\nu_{\text{unif}}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| + \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N, x\neq y} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^\nu}$.

For $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}\}$, define

$$c_\mu = \sqrt{a}(\mu + \frac{1}{\mu}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_\mu(x) = e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x} \quad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that for every fixed $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$, we have that $1 - \mu^2 > 0$; $a\mu^2 - \lambda_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$; the function φ_μ is decreasing, infinitely many differentiable, and satisfies

$$\varphi''_\mu(x) + c_\mu \varphi'_\mu(x) + a\varphi_\mu(x) = 0 \quad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\mu_i}{a\mu^2 - \lambda_i}\varphi''_\mu(x) - \frac{\mu_i}{a\mu^2 - \lambda_i}(\lambda_i \varphi_\mu)(x) = \mu_i \varphi_\mu(x) \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{2.3}$$

For every $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} U^+_\mu(x) &= \min\{\frac{a}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}, \varphi_\mu(x)\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M} & \text{if } \ x \le \frac{-\ln(\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M})}{\mu} \\ e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x} & \text{if } x \ge \frac{-\ln(\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M})}{\mu}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since φ_μ is decreasing, then the function U^+_μ is non-increasing. Furthermore, the function U^+_μ belongs to $C^\nu_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $0 \le \nu < 1$, $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$.

Let $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$ be fixed. Next, let $\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\{1, 2\mu, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$ and $d > 1$. The function $\varphi_\mu - d\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}}$ achieved its maximum value at $\bar{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} := \frac{\ln(d\tilde{\mu}) - \ln(\mu)}{(\tilde{\mu}-\mu)\sqrt{a}}$ and takes the value zero at $\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} := \frac{\ln(d)}{(\tilde{\mu}-\mu)\sqrt{a}}$. Define

$$U^-_\mu(x) := \max\{0, \varphi_\mu(x) - d\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}}(x)\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ x \le \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} \\ \varphi_\mu(x) - d\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) & \text{if } x \ge \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

It is clear that

$$0 \le U^-_\mu(x) \le U^+_\mu(x) \le \frac{a}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $U^-_\mu \in C^\nu_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $0 \le \nu < 1$. Finally, let the set $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu = \{u \in C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R}) \,|\, U^-_\mu \le u \le U^+_\mu\}. \tag{2.6}$$

It should be noted that U^-_μ and $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ all depend on $\tilde{\mu}$ and d. Later on, we shall provide more information on how to choose d and $\tilde{\mu}$ whenever μ is given.

For clarity, we introduce the following quantities, which will also be useful in the statement of our main results in this section as well for the subsequent sections,

$$\tilde{K} = \min\Big\{\frac{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} + \frac{\chi_1\mu_1|\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}\ ,\ \frac{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{\chi_2\mu_2|\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}\Big\}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\tilde{M} = \min\Big\{\frac{(\chi_2\lambda_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1\mu_1)_- + \chi_1\mu_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\chi_2\mu_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_- + (\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_-}{\lambda_1}\Big\}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{L}_\mu = \min\Big\{&\frac{\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)} + \frac{(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+}{\lambda_2-a\mu^2},\\ &\frac{\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)} + \frac{(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+}{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{L}_\mu = \min\Big\{&\frac{\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)} + \frac{(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_-}{\lambda_2-a\mu^2},\\ &\frac{\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)} + \frac{(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_-}{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}K_\mu = \min\Big\{&\frac{|\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1|(\sqrt{\lambda_2-a\mu^2}+\mu\sqrt{a})}{\lambda_2-a\mu^2} + \Big|\frac{\chi_1\mu_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}} - \frac{\chi_1\mu_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2-a\mu^2}}\Big|\\ &+ \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}\chi_1\mu_1|\lambda_1-\lambda_2|}{(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)}, \frac{|\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1|(\sqrt{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}+\mu\sqrt{a})}{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}\\ &+ \Big|\frac{\chi_2\mu_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2-a\mu^2}} - \frac{\chi_2\mu_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}}\Big| + \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}\chi_2\mu_2|\lambda_2-\lambda_1|}{(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Observe that $M < \overline{L}_\mu$. Hence $b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1 \geq \overline{L}_\mu$ implies that (1.12) holds. Furthermore, we have

$$K = M + \tilde{M}, \quad \lim_{\mu\to 0^+}\overline{L}_\mu = M, \quad \lim_{\mu\to 0^+} K_\mu = \tilde{K}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\mu\to 0^+}\mu K_\mu = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

For every $u \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$, consider

$$U_t = U_{xx} + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2V_2-\chi_1V_1)(\cdot;u))U_x + (a+(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U)U, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$V_i(x;u) = \mu_i\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{e^{-\lambda_i s}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}}e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}u(z)dzds, \quad i=1,2. \tag{2.14}$$

It is well known that the function $V_1(x;u)$ (resp. $V_2(x;u)$) is the solution of the second equation (resp. the third equation) of (1.10) in $C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ with given $u \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$.

For given open intervals $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, a function $U(\cdot,\cdot) \in C^{2,1}(D\times I,\mathbb{R})$ is called a *super-solution* or *sub-solution* of (2.13) on $D\times I$ if for every $x \in D$ and $t \in I$,

$$U_t \geq U_{xx} + (c_\mu + (\chi_2V_2'-\chi_1V_1')(x;u))U_x + (a+(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(x;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U)U,$$

or for every $x \in D$ and $t \in I$,

$$U_t \leq U_{xx} + (c_\mu + (\chi_2V_2'-\chi_1V_1')(x;u))U_x + (a+(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(x;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U)U.$$

Next, we state the main result of this section. For convenience, we introduce the following standing assumption.

(H) $0<\mu<\min\{1,\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}},\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$, $M+\tilde{M}+\chi_1\mu_1<b+\chi_2\mu_2$, and

$$\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu+\overline{L}_\mu\le(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1), \tag{2.15}$$

where M, $\tilde{M}$, K_μ and $\overline{L}_\mu$ are given by (1.6), (2.8), (2.11) and (2.9), respectively.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume (H). Then the following hold.*

(1) For every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, we have that $U(x,t)=\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$ is supper-solutions of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ where M is given by (1.6).

(2) For every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, $U(x,t)=\varphi_\mu(x)$ is a supper-solutions of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$.

(3) There is $d_0>1$ such that for every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, we have that $U(x,t)=U_\mu^-(x)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d},\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ for all $d\ge d_0$ and $\mu<\tilde{\mu}<\min\{1,\mu,\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}},\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$, and $K_\mu(\tilde{\mu}-\mu)\le\overline{L}_\mu+\underline{L}_\mu$, where $\overline{L}_\mu,\underline{L}_\mu$ and K_μ are given by (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11).

(4) For every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, $U(x,t)=U_\mu^-(x_\delta)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ for $0<\delta\ll1$, where $x_\delta=\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}+\delta$.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we first establish some estimates on $(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(\cdot;u)$ and $\partial_x(\chi_2V_2-\chi_1V_1)(\cdot;u)$ for every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$.

It follows from (2.14), that

$$\max\{\|V_i(\cdot;u)\|_\infty,\ \|\partial_xV_i(\cdot;u)\|_\infty\}\le\frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i}\|u\|_\infty\quad\forall\ u\in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R}),\ i\in\{1,2\}. \tag{2.16}$$

Furthermore, let

$$C^{2,b}_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})=\{u\in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})\,|\,u'(\cdot),\,u''(\cdot)\in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

The next Lemma provides a uniform lower/upper bounds and a poitwise lower/upper bounds for $\chi_2\lambda_2V_2(\cdot;u)-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1(\cdot;u)$ whenever $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $0<\mu<\min\{1,\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}},\ \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$ and $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, let $V_i(\cdot;u)$ be defined as in (2.14), then for every $x\in\mathbb{R}$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(x;u)\le\min\Big\{\\
&(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+\min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_2-a\mu^2}\Big\}+\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1\min\Big\{\frac{C_0(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{\lambda_1\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)}\Big\},\\
&(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_+\min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\lambda_1},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}\Big\}+\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2\min\Big\{\frac{C_0(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{\lambda_1\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)}\Big\}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(x;u)\ge\max\Big\{\\
&-(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_-\min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_2-a\mu^2}\Big\}+\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1\min\Big\{\frac{C_0(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{\lambda_1\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)}\Big\},\\
&-(\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2-\chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_-\min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\lambda_1},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_1-a\mu^2}\Big\}+\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2\min\Big\{\frac{C_0(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{\lambda_1\lambda_2},\frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_1-a\mu^2)(\lambda_2-a\mu^2)}\Big\}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $C_0:=\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$ and M is given by (1.6).

Proof. Observe that for every $\tau \in \{+,-\}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda_i s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} dz ds = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s})_\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} dz ds = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_\tau}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda_i s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} \varphi_\mu(z) dz ds = \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_i - a\mu^2}, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s})_\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} \varphi_\mu(z) dz ds = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_\tau}{(\lambda_1 - a\mu^2)(\lambda_2 - a\mu^2)} \varphi_\mu(x). \tag{2.21}$$

Let us set $C_0 = \frac{a}{b + \chi_2 \mu_2 - \chi_1 \mu_1 - M}$. Hence, since $0 \le u \le \min\{C_0, \varphi_\mu\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\chi_2 \lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 V_1)(x;u) &= (\chi_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 - \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda_2 s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z) dz ds \\
&\quad + \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s}) \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z) dz ds \\
&\le (\chi_2 \lambda_2 \mu_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 \mu_1)_+ \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_2 - a\mu^2} \Big\} \\
&\quad + \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1 \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_1 - a\mu^2)(\lambda_2 - a\mu^2)} \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\chi_2 \lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 V_1)(x;u) &= \chi_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s}) \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z,t) dz ds \\
&\quad + (\chi_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 - \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\lambda_1 s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z,t) dz ds, \\
&\le (\chi_2 \lambda_2 \mu_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 \mu_1)_+ \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_1 - a\mu^2} \Big\} \\
&\quad + \chi_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+}{(\lambda_1 - a\mu^2)(\lambda_2 - a\mu^2)} \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\chi_2 \lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 V_1)(x;u) &\ge -(\chi_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 - \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1)_- \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda_2 s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z) dz ds \\
&\quad - \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s})_- \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z) dz ds \\
&\ge -(\chi_2 \lambda_2 \mu_2 - \chi_1 \lambda_1 \mu_1)_- \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_2 - a\mu^2} \Big\} \\
&\quad - \chi_1 \mu_1 \lambda_1 \min\Big\{ \frac{C_0 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_-}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_1 - a\mu^2)(\lambda_2 - a\mu^2)} \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(x,t;u) &\geq -\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_2 s} - e^{-\lambda_1 s})_- \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z,t)dzds \\
&\quad - (\chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\lambda_1)_- \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda_1 s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} u(z,t)dzds, \\
&\geq -(\chi_2\lambda_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1\mu_1)_- \min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)}{\lambda_1 - a\mu^2}\Big\} \\
&\quad - \chi_2\mu_2\lambda_2 \min\Big\{\frac{C_0(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \frac{\varphi_\mu(x)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)_-}{(\lambda_1 - a\mu^2)(\lambda_2 - a\mu^2)}\Big\}. \qquad (2.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lemma thus follows. □

Remark 2.3. *Let $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$ and $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ be given.*

(1) It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(x;u) \leq \min\{MC_0, \overline{L}_\mu\varphi_\mu(x)\}.$$

where M is given by (1.6), $C_0 = \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$, and $\bar{L}_\mu$ is given by (2.9).

(2) It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(x;u) \geq -\min\{C_0\tilde{M}, \underline{L}_\mu\varphi_\mu(x)\}.$$

where $C_0 = \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$, M is given by (1.6), $\tilde{M}$ is given by (2.8), and $\underline{L}_\mu$ is given by (2.10).

Next, we present a pointwise and uniform estimate for $|\partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u)|$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < \mu < \min\{1, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{a}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{a}}\}$ be fixed. Let $u \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_1(\cdot;u) \in C^{2,b}_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $V_2(\cdot;u) \in C^{2,b}_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$) be the corresponding function satisfying the second equation (resp. third equation) of (1.10). Then, for every $i,j \in \{1,2\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_x(\chi_i V_i - \chi_j V_j)(x;u)| \\
&\leq |\chi_i\mu_i - \chi_j\mu_j| \min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\ ,\ \big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i - a\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\lambda_i - a\mu^2}\big)\varphi_\mu(x)\Big\} \\
&+ \chi_j\mu_j \min\Big\{\frac{C_0|\sqrt{\lambda_i} - \sqrt{\lambda_j}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}}\ ,\ \big(\big|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i - a\mu^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j - a\mu^2}}\big| + \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}|\lambda_i - \lambda_j|}{(\lambda_i - a\mu^2)(\lambda_j - a\mu^2)}\big)\varphi_\mu(x)\Big\}. \qquad (2.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_0 := \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$ and M is given by (1.6)

Proof. For every $k \in \{1,2\}$, note that

$$\partial_x(\chi_k V_k)(x;u) = \frac{\chi_k\mu_k}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda_k s}}{\sqrt{s}} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau e^{-\tau^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}\tau)d\tau\Big]ds$$

Hence, for every $i,j\in\{1,2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x(\chi_iV_i-\chi_jV_j)(x;u) &= \frac{(\chi_i\mu_i-\chi_j\mu_j)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\frac{e^{-\lambda_is}}{\sqrt{s}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\tau e^{-\tau^2}u(x+2\sqrt{s}\tau)d\tau\Big]ds\\ &+ \frac{\chi_j\mu_j}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\frac{(e^{-\lambda_is}-e^{-\lambda_js})}{\sqrt{s}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\tau e^{-\tau^2}u(x+2\sqrt{s}\tau)d\tau\Big]ds\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Observe that for every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and $s>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\tau|e^{-\tau^2}u(x+2\tau\sqrt{s})d\tau ds &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\tau|e^{-\tau^2}\varphi_\mu(x+2\tau\sqrt{s})d\tau ds\\ &= \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\tau|e^{-(\tau^2+2\tau\mu\sqrt{as})}d\tau\Big]\varphi_\mu(x)\\ &\le \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}(|\tau|+\mu\sqrt{as})e^{-\tau^2}d\tau\Big]e^{\mu^2as}\varphi_\mu(x)\\ &= \Big(1+\mu\sqrt{a\pi s}\Big)e^{\mu^2as}\varphi_\mu(x)\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\tau|e^{-\tau^2}u(x+2\tau\sqrt{s})d\tau ds\le\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}:=C_0.\tag{2.29}$$

It follows from (2.27),(2.28) and (2.29) that, for every $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu,\ x\in\mathbb{R},\ s>0$ and $i,j\in\{1,2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\partial_x(\chi_iV_i-\chi_jV_j)(x;u)\Big|\\ &\le\frac{|\chi_i\mu_i-\chi_j\mu_j|}{\sqrt{\pi}}\min\Big\{C_0\int_0^\infty\frac{e^{-\lambda_is}}{\sqrt{s}}ds\ ,\ \varphi_\mu(x)\int_0^\infty\frac{e^{-(\lambda_i-a\mu^2)s}}{\sqrt{s}}(1+\mu\sqrt{a\pi s})ds\Big\}\\ &+\frac{\chi_j\mu_j}{\sqrt{\pi}}\min\Big\{C_0\int_0^\infty\frac{|e^{-\lambda_is}-e^{-\lambda_js}|}{\sqrt{s}}ds\ ,\ \varphi_\mu(x)\int_0^\infty\frac{|e^{-(\lambda_i-a\mu^2)s}-e^{-(\lambda_j-a\mu^2)s}|}{\sqrt{s}}(1+\mu\sqrt{a\pi s})ds\Big\}\\ &=|\chi_i\mu_i-\chi_j\mu_j|\min\Big\{\frac{C_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\ ,\ \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i-a\mu^2}}+\frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\lambda_i-a\mu^2}\Big)\varphi_\mu(x)\Big\}\\ &+\chi_j\mu_j\min\Big\{\frac{C_0|\sqrt{\lambda_i}-\sqrt{\lambda_j}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}}\ ,\ \Big(\Big|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i-a\mu^2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j-a\mu^2}}\Big|+\frac{\mu\sqrt{a}|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}{(\lambda_i-a\mu^2)(\lambda_j-a\mu^2)}\Big)\varphi_\mu(x)\Big\}\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

The Lemmas thus follows from (2.30). □

Remark 2.5. *Let $0<\mu<\min\{1,\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}},\ \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$ and $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$ be given.*

(1) It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$|\partial_x(\chi_1V_1-\chi_2V_2)(x;u)|\le K_\mu\varphi_\mu(x)\tag{2.31}$$

for every $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, where K_μ is given by (2.11).

(2) It also follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$|\partial_x(\chi_1 V_1 - \chi_2 V_2)(x;u)| \le \frac{\tilde{K}a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}. \tag{2.32}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, where $\tilde{K}$ is given by (2.7).

Based on Remarks 2.3 and 2.5, we can now present the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For given $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ and $U \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$, let

$$\mathcal{L}U = U_{xx} + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))U_x + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U)U. \tag{2.33}$$

(1) First, let $C_0 = \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$. By Remark 2.3 (1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(C_0) &= (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)C_0)C_0\\ &\le \Big(a + MC_0 - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)C_0\Big)C_0\\ &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Hence, we have that $U(x,t) = C_0$ is a super-solution of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$.

(2) It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\varphi_\mu) &= \varphi_\mu''(x) + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))\varphi_\mu'(x)\\ &\quad + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\varphi_\mu)\varphi_\mu\\ &= \underbrace{(\varphi_\mu'' + c_\mu\varphi_\mu' + a\varphi_\mu)}_{=0} + \Big(-\mu\sqrt{a}\partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u)\Big)\varphi_u\\ &\quad - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\varphi_\mu^2\\ &\le \Big[\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\Big]\varphi_\mu^2 \le 0\end{aligned}$$

whenever (2.15) holds. Hence $U(x,t) = \varphi_\mu(x)$ is also a super-solution of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$.

(3) Let $O = (\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}, \infty)$. Then for $x \in O$, $U_\mu^-(x) > 0$. For $x \in O$, it follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(U_\mu^-) &= a\mu^2\varphi_\mu - a\tilde{\mu}^2 d\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))(-\mu\sqrt{a}\varphi_\mu + d\sqrt{a}\tilde{\mu}\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}})\\ &\quad + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U_\mu^-)U_\mu^-\\ &= \underbrace{(a\mu^2 - \sqrt{a}\mu c_\mu + a)}_{=0}\varphi_\mu + d\underbrace{(\sqrt{a}\tilde{\mu}c_\mu - a\tilde{\mu}^2 - a)}_{=A_0}\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} - \sqrt{a}\mu\partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u)\varphi_\mu\\ &\quad + d\sqrt{a}\tilde{\mu}\partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u)\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} + ((\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U_\mu^-)U_\mu^-\\ &\ge dA_0\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} - \sqrt{a}K_\mu\mu\varphi_\mu^2 - d\sqrt{a}K_\mu\tilde{\mu}\varphi_\mu\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} - \underline{L}_\mu\varphi_\mu(x)U_\mu^- - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)[U_\mu^-]^2\\ &\ge dA_0\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}} - \underbrace{\Big[\sqrt{a}K_\mu\mu + \underline{L}_\mu + b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\Big]}_{=A_1}\varphi_\mu^2 - d^2(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}}^2\\ &\quad + d\Big[-\sqrt{a}K_\mu\tilde{\mu} + \underline{L}_\mu + 2(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\Big]\varphi_\mu\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}}\end{aligned}$$

Note that $U_\mu^-(x) > 0$ is equivalent to $\varphi_\mu(x) > d\varphi_{\tilde\mu}(x)$, which is again equivalent to

$$d(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\varphi_\mu(x)\varphi_{\tilde\mu}(x) > d^2(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\varphi^2_{\tilde\mu}(x).$$

Since $A_1 > 0$, thus for $x \in O$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}U_\mu^-(x) &\geq dA_0\varphi_{\tilde\mu}(x) - A_1\varphi^2_\mu(x)\\ &\quad + d\underbrace{\Big[-\sqrt{a}K_\mu\tilde\mu + \underline{L}_\mu + b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\Big]}_{A_2}\varphi_\mu(x)\varphi_{\tilde\mu}(x)\\ &= A_1\Big[\frac{dA_0}{A_1}e^{\sqrt{a}(2\mu-\tilde\mu)x} - 1\Big]\varphi^2_\mu(x) + dA_2\varphi_\mu(x)\varphi_{\tilde\mu}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Note also that, by (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}A_2 &= \Big(-\sqrt{a}K_\mu\mu - \overline{L}_\mu + b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1\Big) + \Big(\overline{L}_\mu + \underline{L}_\mu - \sqrt{a}K_\mu(\tilde\mu-\mu)\Big)\\ &\geq \overline{L}_\mu + \underline{L}_\mu - \sqrt{a}K_\mu(\tilde\mu-\mu)\\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

whenever $\sqrt{a}K_\mu(\tilde\mu-\mu) \leq \overline{L}_\mu + \underline{L}_\mu$. Observe that

$$A_0 = \frac{a(\tilde\mu-\mu)(1-\mu\tilde\mu)}{\mu} > 0, \quad \forall\ 0 < \mu < \tilde\mu < 1.$$

Furthermore, we have that $U_\mu^-(x) > 0$ implies that $x > 0$ for $d > 1$. Thus, for every $d \geq d_0 := \max\{1, \frac{A_1}{A_0}\}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}U_\mu^-(x) > 0 \tag{2.36}$$

whenever $x \in O$, $\sqrt{a}K_\mu(\tilde\mu-\mu) \leq \overline{L}_\mu + \underline{L}_\mu$, and $\mu < \tilde\mu < \min\{1, 2\mu, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}$. Hence $U(x,t) = U_\mu^-(x)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde\mu,d}, \infty)\times\mathbb{R}$.

(4) Observe that

$$a - \frac{a\tilde M}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M} = \frac{a(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M-\tilde M)}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M} > 0.$$

Hence, for $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(U_\mu^-(x_\delta)) &= (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(x;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U_\mu^-(x_\delta))U_\mu^-(x_\delta)\\ &\geq (a - \frac{a\tilde M}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M} - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)U_\mu^-(x_\delta))U_\mu^-(x_\delta)\end{aligned}$$

where $x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde\mu,d}+\delta$. This implies that $U(x,t) = U_\mu^-(x_\delta)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. □

3 Traveling wave solutions

In this section we study the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions of (1.10) connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0,0,0)$, and prove Theorems A and B.

3.1 Proof of Theorem A

In this subsection, we prove Theorem A. To this end, we first prove the following important result.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume (H). Then* (1.10) *has a traveling wave solution* $(u(x,t), v_1(x,t), v_2(x,t)) = (U(x - c_\mu t), V_1(x - c_\mu t), V_2(x - c_\mu t))$ *satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x}} = 1$$

where $c_\mu = \sqrt{a}(\mu + \frac{1}{\mu})$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first prove some lemmas. These Lemmas extend some of the results established in [44], so some details might be omitted in their proofs. The reader is referred to the proofs of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6 in [44] for more details.

In the remaining part of this subsection we shall suppose that (2.15) holds and $\tilde{\mu}$ is fixed, where $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies

$$\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, 2\mu\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{a}K_\mu(\tilde{u} - \mu) < \overline{L}_\mu + \underline{L}_\mu.$$

Furthermore, we choose $d = d_0(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2, \mu)$ to be the constant given by Theorem 2.1 and to be fixed. Fix $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$. For given $u_0 \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$, let $U(x,t;u_0,u)$ be the solution of (2.13) with $U(x,0;u_0,u) = u_0(x)$. By the arguments in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 in [45], we have $U(x,t;U^+_\mu,u)$ exists for all $t > 0$ and $U(\cdot,\cdot;U^+_\mu,u) \in C([0,\infty), C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1((0\ ,\ \infty), C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\ \infty))$ satisfying

$$U(\cdot,\cdot;U^+_\mu,u), U_x(\cdot,\cdot;U^+_\mu,u), U_{xx}(\cdot,t;U^+_\mu,u), U_t(\cdot,\cdot;U^+_\mu,u) \in C^\theta((0,\infty), C^\nu_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})) \tag{3.1}$$

for $0 < \theta, \nu \ll 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume (H). Then for every* $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, *the following hold.*

(i) $0 \le U(\cdot,t;U^+_\mu,u) \le U^+_\mu(\cdot)$ *for every* $t \ge 0$.

(ii) $U(\cdot,t_2;U^+_\mu,u) \le U(\cdot,t_1;U^+_\mu,u)$ *for every* $0 \le t_1 \le t_2$.

Proof. (i) Note that $0 \le U^+_\mu(\cdot) \le \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$. Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations and Theorem 2.1(1), we have

$$0 \le U(x,t;U^+_\mu,u) \le \frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M} \quad \forall\ x\in\mathbb{R},\ t\ge 0.$$

Similarly, note that $0 \le U^+_\mu(x) \le \varphi_\mu(x)$. Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations and Theorem 2.1(2) again, we have

$$U(x,t;U^+_\mu,u) \le \varphi_\mu(x) \quad \forall\ x\in\mathbb{R},\ t\ge 0.$$

Thus $U(\cdot,t;U^+_\mu,u) \le U^+_\mu$. This complete of (i).

(ii) For $0 \le t_1 \le t_2$, since

$$U(\cdot,t_2;U^+_\mu,u) = U(\cdot,t_1;U(\cdot,t_2-t_1;U^+_\mu,u),u)$$

and by (i), $U(\cdot,t_2-t_1;U^+_\mu,u) \le U^+_\mu$, (ii) follows from comparison principle for parabolic equations. □

Let us define $U(x;u)$ to be

$$U(x;u) = \lim_{t\to\infty} U(x,t;U_\mu^+,u) = \inf_{t>0} U(x,t;U_\mu^+,u). \tag{3.2}$$

By the a priori estimates for parabolic equations, the limit in (3.2) is uniform in x in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ and $U(\cdot;u) \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$. Next we prove that the function $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu \to U(\cdot;u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (H). Then,*

$$U(x;u) \geq \begin{cases} U_\mu^-(x), & x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} \\ U_\mu^-(x_\delta), & x \leq x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} + \delta \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, $t \geq 0$, and $0 < \delta \ll 1$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ be fixed. Let $O = (\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}, \infty)$. Note that $U_\mu^-(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}) = 0$. By Theorem 2.1(3), $U_\mu^-(x)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $O \times (0,\infty)$. Note also that $U_\mu^+(x) \geq U_\mu^-(x)$ for $x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}$ and $U(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}, t; U_\mu^+, u) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations, we have that

$$U(x,t;U_\mu^+,u) \geq U_\mu^-(x) \quad \forall\ x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d},\ t \geq 0.$$

Now for any $0 < \delta \ll 1$, by Theorem 2.1(4), $U(x,t) = U_\mu^-(x_\delta)$ is a sub-solution of (2.13) on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. Note that $U_\mu^+(x) \geq U_\mu^-(x_\delta)$ for $x \leq x_\delta$ and $U(x_\delta, t; U_\mu^+, u) \geq U_\mu^-(x_\delta)$ for $t \geq 0$. Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations again,

$$U(x,t;U_\mu^+,u) \geq U_\mu^-(x_\delta) \quad \forall\ x \leq x_\delta,\ t > 0.$$

The lemma then follows. □

Remark 3.4. *It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 that if* (2.15) *holds, then*

$$U_{\mu,\delta}^-(\cdot) \leq U(\cdot,t;U_\mu^+,u) \leq U_\mu^+(\cdot)$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$, $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \delta \ll 1$, where

$$U_{\mu,\delta}^-(x) = \begin{cases} U_\mu^-(x), & x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} + \delta \\ U_\mu^-(x_\delta), & x \leq x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} + \delta. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$U_{\mu,\delta}^-(\cdot) \leq U(\cdot;u) \leq U_\mu^+(\cdot)$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$. Hence $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu \mapsto U(\cdot;u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume (H). Then for every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ the associated function $U(\cdot;u)$ satisfied the elliptic equation,*

$$0 = U_{xx} + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))U_x + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)U)U \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. The following arguments generalized the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [44]. Hence we refer to [44] for the proofs of the estimates stated below.

Let $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to ∞. For every $n \geq 1$, define $U_n(x,t) = U(x, t+t_n; U_\mu^+, u)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0$. For every n, U_n solves the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_n = \partial_{xx} U_n + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))\partial_x U_n \\ \qquad\qquad +(a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1)(\cdot;u) - (b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)U_n)U_n \\ U_n(\cdot,0) = U(\cdot, t_n; U_\mu^+, u). \end{cases}$$

Let $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the analytic semigroup on $C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ generated by $\Delta - I$ and let $X^\beta = {\rm Dom}((I-\Delta)^\beta)$ be the fractional power spaces of $I - \Delta$ on $C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ ($\beta \in [0,1]$).

The variation of constant formula and the fact that $\partial_{xx} V_i(\cdot;u) - \lambda_i V = -\mu_i u$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} U(\cdot,t;U_\mu^+,u) &= \underbrace{T(t)U_\mu^+}_{I_1(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)(((c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))U(\cdot,s;U_\mu^+,u))_x)(s)ds}_{I_2(t)} \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)(1 + a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(\cdot;u))U(\cdot,s;U_\mu^+,u)ds}_{I_3(t)} \\ &- (b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)\underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)U^2(\cdot,s;U_\mu^+,u)ds}_{I_4(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ be fixed. There is a positive constant C_β, (see [19]), such that

$$\|I_1(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta t^{-\beta} e^{-t}}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M},$$

$$\|I_2(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}(c_\mu + \frac{a\tilde{K}}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta),$$

$$\|I_3(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta((1+a)(b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M) + a(\chi_2\mu_2 + \chi_1\mu_1))}{(b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M)^2}\Gamma(1-\beta),$$

and

$$\|I_4(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{a^2 C_\beta}{(b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M)^2}\Gamma(1-\beta).$$

Note that we have used Lemma 2.4, mainly the fact that $|\partial_x(\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(\cdot;u)| \leq \frac{a\tilde{K}}{b+\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}$, to obtain the uniform upper bound estimates for $\|I_2(t)\|_{X^\beta}$. Therefore, for every $T > 0$ we have that

$$\sup_{t\geq T} \|U(\cdot,t;U_\mu^+,u)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_T < \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$M_T = \frac{aC_\beta(1 + \Gamma(1-\beta) + \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta))}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}\Big[\frac{T^{-\beta}}{e^T} + \big(c_\mu + \frac{(1+a)(b+\chi_2\mu_2) + a(\tilde{K} + (\chi_2\mu_2 + \chi_1\mu_1))}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}\big)\Big]. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1, t\geq 0} \|U_n(\cdot,t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_{t_1} < \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Next, for every $t, h \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$\|I_1(t+h+t_n) - I_1(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq C_\beta h^\beta (t+t_n)^{-\beta} e^{-(t+t_n)} \|U_\mu^+\|_\infty \leq C_\beta h^\beta t_1^{-\beta} e^{-t_1} \|U_\mu^+\|_\infty, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I_2(t+t_n+h) - I_2(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{aC_\beta}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}(c_\mu + \frac{a\tilde{K}}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M})\Big[h^\beta\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) + \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}}{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I_3(t+h+t_n) - I_3(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{aC_\beta((1+a)(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M)+a(\chi_2\mu_2+\chi_1\mu_1))}{(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M)^2}\Big[h^\beta\Gamma(1-\beta) + \frac{h^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\|I_4(t+t_n+h) - I_4(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{a^2C_\beta}{(b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M)^2}\Big[h^\beta\Gamma(1-\beta) + \frac{h^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}\Big]. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from inequalities (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), (3.10) and (3.12), the functions $U_n : [0,\infty) \to X^\beta$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Since X^β is continuously imbedded in $C^\nu(\mathbb{R})$ for every $0 \leq \nu < 2\beta$ (See [19]), therefore, the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem and Theorem 3.15 in [16], imply that there is a function $\tilde{U}(\cdot,\cdot;u) \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{U_{n'}\}_{n\geq 1}$ of $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $U_{n'} \to \tilde{U}$ in $C^{2,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty))$ as $n\to\infty$ and $\tilde{U}(\cdot,\cdot;u)$ solves the PDE

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t\tilde{U} = \partial_{xx}\tilde{U} + (c_\mu + \partial_x(\chi_2V_2-\chi_1V_1)(\cdot;u)\partial_x\tilde{U} \\
\qquad\quad +(a+(\chi_2\lambda_2V_2-\chi_1\lambda_1V_1)(\cdot;u) - (b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1)\tilde{U})\tilde{U},\ t>0 \\
\tilde{U}(x,0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} U(x,t_{n'};U_\mu^+,u).
\end{cases}$$

But $U(x;u) = \lim_{t\to\infty} U(x,t;U_\mu^+,u)$ and $t_{n'}\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, hence $\tilde{U}(x,t;u) = U(x;u)$ for every $x\in\mathbb{R},\ t\geq 0$. Hence $U(\cdot;u)$ solves (3.4). □

Lemma 3.6. *Assume (H). Then, for any given $u\in\mathcal{E}_\mu$, (3.4) has a unique bounded non-negative solution satisfying that*

$$\liminf_{x\to-\infty} U(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x}} = 1. \tag{3.13}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.6 follows from [44, Lemma 3.6].

We now prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [44], let us consider the normed linear space $\mathcal{E} = C^b_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_* = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n}\|u\|_{L^\infty([-n,\,n])}.$$

For every $u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ we have that

$$\|u\|_* \leq \frac{a}{b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 - M}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ is a bounded convex subset of $\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, since the convergence in $\mathcal{E}$ implies the pointwise convergence, then $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ is a closed, bounded, and convex subset of $\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ converges with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ if and only if it converges locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$.

We prove that the mapping $\mathcal{E}_\mu \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ has a fixed point. We divide the proof in three steps.

Step 1. In this step, we prove that the mapping $\mathcal{E}_\mu \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ is compact.

Let $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{E}_\mu$. Since $U(\cdot; u_n) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ for every $n \geq 1$ then $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is clearly uniformly bounded by $\frac{a}{b+\chi_2\mu_2-\chi_1\mu_1-M}$. Using inequality (3.6), we have that

$$\sup_{t\geq 1} \|U(\cdot, t; U_\mu^+, u_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_1$$

for all $n \geq 1$ where M_1 is given by (3.7). Therefore there is $0 < \nu \ll 1$ such that

$$\sup_{t\geq 1} \|U(\cdot, t; U_\mu^+, u_n)\|_{C^\nu_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \tilde{M}_1 \tag{3.14}$$

for every $n \geq 1$ where $\tilde{M}_1$ is a constant depending only on M_1. Since for every $n \geq 1$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $U(x, t; U_\mu^+, u_n) \to U(x; u_n)$ as $t \to \infty$, then it follows from (3.14) that

$$\|U(\cdot; u_n)\|_{C^\nu_{\rm unif}} \leq \tilde{M}_1 \tag{3.15}$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Which implies that the sequence $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is equicontinuous. The Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem implies that there is a subsequence $\{U(\cdot; u_{n'})\}_{n\geq 1}$ of the sequence $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ and a function $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{U(\cdot; u_{n'})\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges to U locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, the function U satisfies inequality (3.15). Combining this with the fact $U_\mu^-(x) \leq U(x; u_{n'}) \leq U_\mu^+(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$, by letting n goes to infinity, we obtain that $U \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$. Hence the mapping $\mathcal{E}_\mu \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ is compact.

Step 2. In this step, we prove that the mapping $\mathcal{E}_\mu \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ is continuous. This follows from the arguments used in the proof of Step 2, Theorem 3.1, [44]

Now by Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem, there is $U \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ such that $U(\cdot; U) = U(\cdot)$. Then $(U(x), V(x; U))$ is a stationary solution of (1.11) with $c = c_\mu$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\sqrt{a}\mu x}} = 1.$$

Step 3. We claim that

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b}.$$

For otherwise, we may assume that there is $x_n \to -\infty$ such that $U(x_n) \to \lambda \neq \frac{a}{b}$ as $n \to \infty$. Define $U_n(x) = U(x + x_n)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$. By the arguments of Lemma 3.5, there is a subsequence $\{U_{n'}\}_{n\geq 1}$ of $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and a function $U^* \in C^b_{\rm unif}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|U_{n'} - U^*\|_* \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, $(U^*, V_1(\cdot; U^*), V_2(\cdot; U^*))$ is also a stationary solution of (1.11) with $c = c_\mu$.

Claim 1. $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} U^*(x) > 0$.

Indeed, let $0 < \delta \ll 1$ be fixed. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there $N_x \gg 1$ such that $x + x_{n'} < x_\delta$ for all $n \geq N_x$. Hence, It follows from Remark 3.4 that

$$0 < U^-_{\mu,\delta}(x_\delta) \leq U(x + x_{n'}) \ \forall\ n \geq N_x.$$

Letting n goes to infinity in the last inequality, we obtain that $U^-_{\mu,\delta}(x_\delta) \leq U^*(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The claim thus follows.

Claim 2. $U^*(\cdot) = \frac{a}{b}$.

Note that $K = M + \tilde{M}$. Note also that the function $(\tilde{U}(x,t), \tilde{V}_1(x,t), \tilde{V}_2(x,t)) = (U^*(x - c_\mu t), V_1(x - c_\mu t, U^*), V_2(x - c_\mu t, U^*))$ solves (1.10). Then by [42, Theorem B] and Claim 1,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |U^*(x - c_\mu t) - \frac{a}{b}| = 0.$$

This implies that $U^*(x) = \frac{a}{b}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the claim thus follows.

By Claim 2, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} U(x_n) = U^*(0) = \frac{a}{b}$, which contracts to $\lim_{n\to\infty} U(x_n) = U^*(0) = \lambda \neq \frac{a}{b}$. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Using (2.12), we have

$$\lim_{\mu\to 0^+} \left[\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu\right] = M. \tag{3.16}$$

This combined with the fact that $\tilde{M} \geq 0$ and $M + \tilde{M} + \chi_1\mu_1 < b + \chi_2\mu_2$ imply that there is $0 < \mu_0 \ll 1$ such that

$$\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu \leq b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1, \quad \forall\ 0 < \mu < \mu_0. \tag{3.17}$$

Next, let us define μ^* to be

$$\mu^* := \sup\left\{\bar{\mu} \in \left(0\ ,\ \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}\right)\ :\ \mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu \leq b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1,\ \forall\ 0 < \mu \leq \bar{\mu}\right\}$$

and

$$c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) := \lim_{\mu\to\mu^{*-}} c_\mu \tag{3.18}$$

where $c_\mu = \sqrt{a}(\mu + \frac{1}{\mu})$. Clearly, it follows from (3.17) that $\mu^* \geq \mu_0 > 0$. Let $c > c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2)$ be given and let $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$ be the unique solution of the equation $c_\mu = c$. Then μ, K_μ and $\overline{L}_\mu$ satisfy (2.15). It follows from Theorem 3.1, that (1.4) has a traveling wave solution $(U(x,t), V_1(x,t), V_2(x,t)) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$ with speed c connecting $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(0,0,0)$. Moreover $\lim_{z\to\infty} \frac{U(z)}{e^{-\mu z}} = 1$.

Note that

$$\lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} K_\mu = \lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} \overline{L}_\mu = 0.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} \mu^*(\chi_1\mu_1, \lambda_1, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda_2) = \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{a}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{a}}\}.$$

From what it follows that

$$\lim_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)\to(0^+,0^+)} c^*(\chi_1,\mu_1,\lambda_1,\chi_2,\mu_2,\lambda_2) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \min\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_1 \le \min\{a,\lambda_2\} \\ \frac{a+\lambda_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \text{if} \quad \lambda_2 \le \min\{a,\lambda_1\} \end{cases}, \quad \forall \lambda_1,\lambda_2,\mu_1,\mu_2 > 0.$$

□

Next, for completeness, we present the proof of Remark B.

Proof of Remark B. Observe that if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ then

$$M = (\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)_+, \quad \tilde{M} = (\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)_-, \quad \overline{L}_\mu = \frac{\lambda(\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)_+}{\lambda - a\mu^2},$$

$$K_\mu = \frac{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\lambda - a\mu^2}\Big), \quad M + \tilde{M} = |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|.$$

Sub-case 1. $|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2| = 0$.
In this case we have that $K_\mu = \overline{L}_\mu = 0$ for every $0 < \mu < \min\Big\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\Big\}$. Hence, $\mu^* = \min\Big\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\Big\}$ and

$$c^*(\chi_1,\mu_1,\lambda,\chi_2,\mu_2,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \ge \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Sub-case 2. $\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 > 0$.
In this case we have that $\overline{L}_\mu = 0$ for every $0 < \mu < \min\Big\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\Big\}$. Moreover, we have

$$M + \tilde{M} + \chi_1\mu_1 < b + \chi_2\mu_1 \Leftrightarrow |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2| < \frac{b}{2}$$

and

$$\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu \le b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 \Leftrightarrow \mu\sqrt{a}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\lambda - a\mu^2}\Big) \le \frac{2(b - |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_1|)}{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}.$$

Since the function $\Big(0\ ,\ \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\Big) \ni \mu \mapsto \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{a\mu^2}{\lambda - a\mu^2}$ is strictly increasing and continuous, we have that

$$\mu^* = \sup\Big\{\mu \in (0, \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\}) \ : \ \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{a\mu^2}{\lambda - a\mu^2} \le \frac{2(b - |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_1|)}{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}\Big\}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 \to 0^+} \mu^* = \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\}.$$

Which implies that

$$\lim_{\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 \to 0^+} c^*(\chi_1,\mu_1,\lambda,\chi_2,\mu_2,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \ge \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Sub-case 3. $\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 > 0$.

In this case we have that $\tilde{M} = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$M + \tilde{M} + \chi_1\mu_1 < b + \chi_2\mu_1 \Leftrightarrow b > 0$$

and

$$\mu\sqrt{a}K_\mu + \overline{L}_\mu \le b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 \Leftrightarrow \Big(\frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{a\mu^2}{\lambda - a\mu^2}\Big) \le \frac{2(b + |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_1|)}{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}$$

Since the function $\Big(0, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\Big) \ni \mu \mapsto \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{a\mu^2+\lambda}{\lambda - a\mu^2}$ is strictly increasing and continuous, we have that

$$\mu^* = \sup\Big\{\mu \in (0, \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\}) \;\Big|\; \frac{\mu\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda - a\mu^2}} + \frac{a\mu^2 + \lambda}{\lambda - a\mu^2} \le \frac{2(b + |\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_1|)}{|\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2|}\Big\}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1 \to 0^+} \mu^* = \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\}.$$

Which implies that

$$\lim_{\chi_1\mu_1 - \chi_2\mu_2 \to 0^+} c^*(\chi_1, \mu_1, \lambda, \chi_2, \mu_2, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if} \quad a \le \lambda \\ \frac{a+\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if} \quad a \ge \lambda. \end{cases}$$

□

3.2 Proof of Theorem C

In this subsection, we prove Theorem C. To do so, we first recall the following two lemmas from [43].

Lemma 3.7. *(1) Let $0 \le c < 2\sqrt{a}$ be fixed and $\lambda_0 > 0$ be such that $c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0 < 0$. Let $\lambda_D(L)$ be the principal eigenvalue of*

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + c\phi_x + a\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

Then there is $L > 0$ such that $\lambda_D(L) = \lambda_0$.

(2) Let c and L be as in (1). Let $\lambda_D(L; b_1, b_2)$ be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

where $b_1(x)$ and $b_2(x)$ are continuous functions. If there is a C^2 function $\phi(x)$ with $\phi(x) > 0$ for $0 < x < L$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi \le 0, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) \ge 0, \quad \phi(L) \ge 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

Then $\lambda_D(L, b_1, b_2) \le 0$.

Lemma 3.8. *(1) Let $c < 0$ be fixed and let $\lambda_0 > 0$ be such that $0 < \lambda_0 < a$. Let $\lambda_{N,D}(L)$ be the principal eigenvalue of*

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + c\phi_x + a\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) = \phi(L) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Then there is $L > 0$ such that $\lambda_{N,D}(L) = \lambda_0$.

(2) Let c and L be as in (1). Let $\lambda_{N,D}(L; b_1, b_2)$ be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) = \phi(L) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

where $b_1(x)$ and $b_2(x)$ are continuous functions. If there is a C^2 function $\phi(x)$ with $\phi(x) > 0$ for $0 < x < L$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi \le 0, \quad 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) \le 0, \quad \phi(L) \ge 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

Then $\lambda_{N,D}(L, b_1, b_2) \le 0$.

Proof of Theorem C. We first consider the case that $0 \le c < 2\sqrt{a}$. Then there is $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0 < 0.$$

By Lemma 3.7(1), there is $L > 0$ such that $\lambda_D(L) = \lambda_0 > 0$.

Fix $0 \le c < 2\sqrt{a}$ and the above L. Assume that (1.4) has a traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$ with $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0, 0, 0)$. Then, it follows that (1.10) has a stationary solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x), V_1(x), V_2(x))$. Furthermore, we have $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0, 0, 0)$. Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$, this is $x_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < U(x) < \epsilon, \quad 0 < V_1(x) < \epsilon, \quad |V_{ix}(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall\ i = 1, 2,\ x \ge x_\epsilon.$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + (\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)_x)\phi_x + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(x))\phi \\ -((b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)U(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad x_\epsilon < x < x_\epsilon + L \\ \phi(x_\epsilon) = \phi(x_\epsilon + L) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

Let $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L)$ be the principal eigenvalue of (3.25). By Lemma 3.7(1) and perturbation theory for principal eigenvalues of elliptic operators, $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L) > 0$ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

Note that

$$U_{xx} + (c + (\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)_x)U_x + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V)(x) - (b - \chi)U(x))U = 0 \quad \forall\ x_\epsilon \le x \le x_\epsilon + L$$

and $U(x_\epsilon) > 0$, $U(x_\epsilon + L) > 0$. Then, by Lemma 3.7(2), $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L) \le 0$. We get a contradiction. Therefore, (1.4) has no traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x - ct), V_1(x - ct), V_2(x - ct))$

with $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0,0,0)$ and $0 \le c < 2\sqrt{a}$.

Next, we consider the case that $c < 0$. Let λ_0 and L be as in Lemma 3.8(1). Then $\lambda_{N,D}(L) = \lambda_0 > 0$.

Fix $c < 0$ and the above L. Assume that (1.4) has a traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x-ct), V_1(x-ct), V_2(x-ct))$ with $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$ and $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0,0)$. Then, it follows that (1.10) has a stationary solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x), V_1(x), V_2(x))$ with $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0,0,0)$. Similarly, for any $\epsilon > 0$, this is $x_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < U(x) < \epsilon, \quad 0 < V_i(x) < \epsilon, \quad |V_{ix}(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall\ i = 1, 2,\ x \ge x_\epsilon.$$

Moreover, since $U(\infty) = 0$, there is $\tilde{x}_\epsilon > x_\epsilon$ such that

$$U_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) < 0.$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + (\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)_x(x))\phi_x + (a + (\chi_2\lambda_2 V_2 - \chi_1\lambda_1 V_1)(x))\phi \\ -((b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)U(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad \tilde{x}_\epsilon < x < \tilde{x}_\epsilon + L \\ \phi_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) = \phi(\tilde{x}_\epsilon + L) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

Let $\lambda^\epsilon_{N,D}(L)$ be the principal eigenvalue of (3.26). By Lemma 3.8(1) and perturbation theory for principal eigenvalues of elliptic operators, $\lambda^\epsilon_{N,D}(L) > 0$ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

Note that

$$U_{xx} + (c + (\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)_x)U_x + (a + (\chi_2 V_2 - \chi_1 V_1)(x) - (b + \chi_2\mu_2 - \chi_1\mu_1)U(x))U = 0 \quad \forall\ \tilde{x}_\epsilon \le x \le \tilde{x}_\epsilon + L$$

and $U_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) < 0$, $U(\tilde{x}_\epsilon + L) > 0$. Then, by Lemma 3.8(2), $\lambda^\epsilon_{N,D}(L) \le 0$. We get a contradiction. Therefore, (1.4) has no traveling wave solution $(u, v_1, v_2) = (U(x-ct), V_1(x-ct), V_2(x-ct))$ with $(U(-\infty), V_1(-\infty), V_2(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a\mu_1}{b\lambda_1}, \frac{a\mu_2}{b\lambda_2})$ and $(U(\infty), V_1(\infty), V_2(\infty)) = (0,0,0)$ and $c < 0$.

Theorem C is thus proved. □

References

[1] S. Ai, W. Huang, and Z.-A. Wang, Reaction, diffusion and chemotaxis in wave propagation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **20** (2015), no. 1, 1-21.

[2] S. Ai and Z.-A. Wang, Traveling bands for the Keller-Segel model with population growth, *Math. Biosci. Eng.* **12** (2015), no. 4, 717-737.

[3] N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, Y. Tao, and M. Winkler, Toward a mathematical theory of Keller-Segel models of pattern formation in biological tissues, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **25** (2015), 1663-1763.

[4] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel and G. Nadin, Asymptotic spreading in heterogeneous diffusive excita media, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **255** (2008), 2146-2189.

[5] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, and N. Nadirashvili, The speed of propagation for KPP type problems, I - Periodic framework, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **7** (2005), 172-213.

[6] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, and N. Nadirashvili, The speed of propagation for KPP type problems, II - General domains, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (2010), no. 1, 1-34.

[7] H. Berestycki and G. Nadin, Asymptotic spreading for general heterogeneous Fisher-KPP type, preprint.

[8] M. Bramson, Convergence of solutions of the Kolmogorov equation to traveling waves, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **285** (1983).

[9] T. Cieślak, P. Laurencot, and C. Morales-Rodrigo, Global existence and convergence to steady states in a chemorepulsion system, *Parabolic and Navier-Stokes Equations Banach Center Publications, Volume 81, Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences Warszawa 2008*

[10] J.I. Diaz and T. Nagai, Symmetrization in a parabolic-elliptic system related to chemotaxis, *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, **5** (1995), 659-680.

[11] J.I. Diaz, T. Nagai, J.-M. Rakotoson, Symmetrization Techniques on Unbounded Domains: Application to a Chemotaxis System on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Differential Equations*, **145** (1998), 156-183.

[12] E. Espejoand, T. Suzuki, Global existence and blow-up for a system describing the aggregation of microglia, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **35** (2014), 29-34.

[13] R. Fisher, The wave of advance of advantageous genes, *Ann. of Eugenics*, **7** (1937), 355-369.

[14] M. Freidlin, On wave front propagation in periodic media. *In: Stochastic analysis and applications, ed. M. Pinsky, Advances in probablity and related topics*, 7:147-166, 1984.

[15] M. Freidlin and J. Gärtner, On the propagation of concentration waves in periodic and ramdom media, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **20** (1979), 1282-1286.

[16] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equation of Parabolic Type, *Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.*, 1964.

[17] M. Funaki, M. Mimura, and T. Tsujikawa, Travelling front solutions arising in the chemotaxis-growth model, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **8** (2006), 223-245.

[18] E. Galakhov, O. Salieva, and J. I. Tello, On a Parabolic-Elliptic system with Chemotaxis and logistic type growth, *J. Differential Equations*, **261** (2016), no. 8, 4631-4647.

[19] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York*, 1981.

[20] M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velasquez, A blow-up mechanism for a chemotaxis model, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze*, **24** (1997), no. 4, 633-683

[21] D. Horstmann, Generalizing the Keller-Segel model: Lyapunov functionals, steady state analysis, and blow-up results for multispecies chemotaxis models in the presence of attraction and repulsion between competitive interacting species, *J. Nonlin. Sci.* **21**(2) (2011), 231-270.

[22] D. Horstmann and A. Stevens, A constructive approach to traveling waves in chemotaxis, *J. Nonlin. Sci.*, **14** (2004), 1-25.

[23] H. Y. Jin, Boundedness of the attraction-repulsion Keller-Segel system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **422**(2) (2015), 1463-1478.

[24] K. Kanga and A. Steven, Blowup and global solutions in a chemotaxis-growth system, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **135** (2016), 57-72.

[25] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **26** (1970), 399-415.

[26] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, A Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **30** (1971), 225-234.

[27] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrowsky, and N. Piscunov, A study of the equation of diffusion with increase in the quantity of matter, and its application to a biological problem, *Bjul. Moskovskogo Gos. Univ.*, **1** (1937), 1-26.

[28] J. Li, T. Li, and Z.-A. Wang, Stability of traveling waves of the Keller-Segel system with logarithmic sensitivity, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **24** (2014), no. 14, 2819-2849.

[29] X. Liang and X.-Q. Zhao, Asymptotic speeds of spread and traveling waves for monotone semiflows with applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **60** (2007), no. 1, 1-40.

[30] X. Liang and X.-Q. Zhao, Spreading speeds and traveling waves for abstract monostable evolution systems, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **259** (2010), 857-903.

[31] K. Lin, C. Mu, Y. Gao, Boundedness and blow up in the higher-dimensional attraction-repulsion chemotaxis with non-linear diffusion, *J. Differential Equations* 261(2016) 4524-4572.

[32] J. Liu and Z. A. Wang, Classical solutions and steady states of an attraction-repulsion chemotaxis in one dimension, *J. Biol. Dyn.* **6** (suppl. 1) (2012), 31-41.

[33] P. Liu, J. Shi, and Z. A. Wang, Pattern formation of the attraction-repulsion Keller-Segel system, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* Ser. B **18**(10) (2013), 2597-2625.

[34] M. Luca, A. Chavez-Ross, L. Edelstein-Keshet, and A. Mogilner, Chemotactic signaling, microglia, and alzheimers disease senile plaques: Is there a connection? *Bull. Math. Biol.* **65**(4) (2003), 693-730.

[35] B. P. Marchant, J. Norbury, and J. A. Sherratt, Travelling wave solutions to a haptotaxis-dominated model of malignant invasion, *Nonlinearity*, **14** (2001), 1653-1671.

[36] M. S. Mock, An initial value problem from semiconductor device theory, *SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5* (1974), 597-612.

[37] G. Nadin, Traveling fronts in space-time periodic media, *J. Math. Pures Anal.*, **92** (2009), 232-262.

[38] G. Nadin, B. Perthame, and L. Ryzhik, Traveling waves for the Keller-Segel system with Fisher birth terms, *Interfaces Free Bound.* **10** (2008), no. 4, 517-538.

[39] T. Nagai, T. Senba and K, Yoshida, Application of the Trudinger-Moser Inequality to a Parabolic System of Chemotaxis, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **40** (1997), 411-433.

[40] J. Nolen, M. Rudd, and J. Xin, Existence of KPP fronts in spatially-temporally periodic adevction and variational principle for propagation speeds, *Dynamics of PDE*, **2** (2005), 1-24.

[41] J. Nolen and J. Xin, Existence of KPP type fronts in space-time periodic shear flows and a study of minimal speeds based on variational principle, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **13** (2005), 1217-1234.

[42] R. B. Salako and W. Shen, *Global classical solutions, stability of constant equilibria, and spreading speeds in attraction-repulsion chemotaxis systems with logistic source on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, preprint, (https://arxiv.org/pdf/1612.00924.pdf)

[43] R. B. Salako and W. Shen, Existence of Traveling wave solution of the full parabolic chemotaxis system, preprint. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.05215v1.pdf

[44] R. B. Salako and W. Shen, Spreading Speeds and Traveling waves of a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source on $\mathbb{R}^N$, preprint, arXiv:1609.05387.

[45] R. B. Salako and W. Shen, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of classical solutions to a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source on $\mathbb{R}^N$, preprint, arXiv:1608.02031.

[46] D.H. Sattinger, On the stability of waves of nonlinear parabolic systems, *Advances in Math.* **22** (1976), no. 3, 312-355.

[47] W. Shen, Variational principle for spatial spreading speeds and generalized propgating speeds in time almost and space periodic KPP models, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362** (2010), 5125-5168.

[48] W. Shen, Existence of generalized traveling waves in time recurrent and space periodic monostable equations, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **1** (2011), 69-93.

[49] Y. Sugiyama, Global existence in sub-critical cases and finite time blow up in super critical cases to degenerate Keller-Segel systems, *Differential Integral Equations*, **19** (2006), no. 8, 841-876.

[50] Y. Sugiyama and H. Kunii, Global Existence and decay properties for a degenerate keller-Segel model with a power factor in drift term, *J. Differential Equations*, **227** (2006), 333-364.

[51] Y. Tao, Z. Wang : Competing effects of attraction vs. repulsion in chemotaxis, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **23** (2013), no. 1, 1-36.

[52] J. I. Tello and M. Winkler, A Chemotaxis System with Logistic Source, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **32** (2007), 849-877.

[53] K. Uchiyama, The behavior of solutions of some nonlinear diffusion equations for large time, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1978), 453-508.

[54] L. Wang, C. Mu, and P. Zheng, On a quasilinear parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source, *J. Differential Equations*, **256** (2014), 1847-1872.

[55] Y. Wang, Global bounded weak solutions to a degenerate quasilinear attraction repulsion chemotaxis system with rotation, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **72** (2016) 2226-2240.

[56] Y. Wang and Z. Xiang, Boundedness in a quasilinear 2D parabolic-parabolic attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system. Discrete Contin, *Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 21 (2016), no. 6, 1953-1973.

[57] Z.-A. Wang, Mathematics of traveling waves in chemotaxisreview paper, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **18** (2013), no. 3, 601-641.

[58] H. F. Weinberger, Long-time behavior of a class of biology models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **13** (1982), 353-396.

[59] H. F. Weinberger, On spreading speeds and traveling waves for growth and migration models in a periodic habitat, *J. Math. Biol.*, **45** (2002), 511-548.

[60] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **248** (2010), 2889-2905.

[61] M. Winkler, Blow-up in a higher-dimensional chemotaxis system despite logistic growth restriction, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **384** (2011), 261-272.

[62] M. Winkler, Finite-time blow-up in the higher-dimensional parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **100** (2013), 748-767.

[63] M. Winkler, Global asymptotic stability of constant equilibria in a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with strong logistic dampening, *J. Differential Equations* **257** (2014), no. 4, 1056-1077.

[64] M. Winkler, How far can chemotactic cross-diffusion enforce exceeding carrying capacities? *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **24** (2014), 809-855.

[65] T. Yokota and N. Yoshino, Existence of solutions to chemotaxis dynamics with logistic source, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 2015, Dynamical systems, differential equations and applications. 10th AIMS Conference. Suppl., 1125-1133.

[66] Q. Zhang and Y. Li, An attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system with logistic source, *ZAMMZ. Angew. Math. Mech.* **96** (2016), No. 5, 570-584.

[67] P. Zheng, C. Mu, X. Hu, Boundedness in the higher dimensional attraction-repulsion chemotaxis-growth system, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **72** (2016) 2194-2202.

[68] P. Zheng, C. Mu, X. Hu, and Y. Tian, Boundedness of solutions in a chemotaxis system with nonlinear sensitivity and logistic source, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **424** (2015), 509-522.

[69] A. Zlatoš, Transition fronts in inhomogeneous Fisher-KPP reaction-diffusion equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **98** (2012), no. 1, 89-102.